NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE CONSERVATION PRACTICE STANDARD

RESIDUE AND TILLAGE MANAGEMENT NO TILL/STRIP TILL/DIRECT SEED

(Acre)



No Till Cotton

DEFINITION

Limiting soil disturbance to manage the amount, orientation, and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year around.

PURPOSE

- Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion Resource Concern (SOIL EROSION Sheet, rill, & wind erosion).
- Reduce tillage-induced particulate emissions Resource Concern (AIR QUALITY IMPACTS Emissions of Particulate Matter PM and PM Precursors).
- Maintain or increase soil quality and organic matter content Resource Concern (SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION – Organic matter depletion).
- Reduce energy use Resource Concern (INEFFICIENT ENERGY USE Farming/ranching practices and field operations).
- Increase water use and precipitation storage efficiency Resource Concern (INSUFFICIENT WATER Inefficient moisture management).
- Provide food and escape cover for wildlife Resource Concern (INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE – Habitat degradation).

CONDITIONS WHERE THIS PRACTICE APPLIES

This practice applies to all cropland and other land where crops are grown.

This practice only involves an in-row soil tillage operation during the planting operation and a seed row/furrow closing device. There is no full-width tillage performed from the time of harvest or termination of one cash crop to the time of harvest or termination of the next cash crop in the rotation regardless of the depth of the tillage operation.

CRITERIA

General Criteria Applicable To All Purposes

Do not burn the residue.

Distribute residues uniformly over the entire field. Removing residue from the row area prior to or as part of the planting operation is acceptable.

No full-width tillage is performed from the time of harvest or termination of one cash crop to the time of harvest or termination of the next cash crop in the rotation regardless of the depth of the tillage operation.

All equipment used in this practice disturb only strips or slots of the soil surface. Planting methods associated with this practice are commonly referred to as no-till, strip-till, direct seed, zero till, slot till, or zone till. Implements associated with those types of planting methods include no-till and strip-till planters; certain drills and air seeders; strip-type fertilizer and manure injectors and applicators; in-row chisels; and similar implements.

Even when some combination of the above equipment is used, the Soil Tillage Intensity Rating (STIR) value based on all field operations that are performed during the crop interval between harvest or termination of the previous cash crop and harvest or termination of the current cash crop (includes fallow periods) cannot be greater than 20.

Avoid or minimize to the extent practical impact to cultural resources, wetlands, and Federal and State protected species during planning, design and implementation of this conservation practice. For more information, see National and Florida NRCS policy, <u>General Manual (GM) Title 420-Part 401, Title 450-Part 401, and Title 190-Parts 410.22 and 410.26</u>; National Planning Procedures Handbook (NPPH, <u>Handbooks Title 180 Part 600</u>) FL Supplements to Parts 600.1 and 600.6; National Cultural Resources Procedures Handbook (NCRPH, <u>Handbooks Title 190 Part 601</u>); and The National Environmental Compliance Handbook (NECH, Handbooks Title 180 Part 610).

Additional Criteria To Reduce Sheet/Rill Erosion; Reduce Wind Erosion

Determine the amount and orientation of surface residue needed and the amount of surface soil disturbance allowed to reduce erosion to the planned soil loss objective using the current approved water and/or wind erosion prediction technology. All other practices in the management system should be considered when make these calculations.

Additional Criteria to Improve Soil Quality and Organic Matter Content

Ensure that an evaluation of the cropping system using the current approved soil conditioning index (SCI) procedure results in an SCI rating of zero or higher.

Additional Criteria to Increase Water Use and Precipitation Storage Efficiency.

Maintain on the soil surface the equivalent of a minimum of 2000 pounds per acre SGe (Small Grain equivalents = amount of residue from a given crop that is equivalent in pounds per acre to small grain residue made up of 10-inch-long stalks lying flat in on the surface of the soil, parallel to the wind direction in rows spaced 10 inches apart; see National Agronomy Manual, pp. 502-57 – 502-97).

Maintain crop stubble height of at least 10 inches throughout the year.

Additional Criteria to Reduce Energy Use

Reduce the total energy consumption associated with field operations by at least 25% compared to the benchmark condition. Use the current approved NRCS tool for determining energy use to document energy use reductions.

Additional Criteria to Provide Food and Cover for Wildlife

Use an approved habitat evaluation procedure to determine when residue needs to be present, and the amount, orientation, and stubble height needed to provide adequate food and cover for target species.

CONSIDERATIONS

General Considerations - Removing crop residue, such as by baling or grazing, can have a negative impact on resources. These activities should not be performed without full evaluation of impacts on soil, water, animal, plant, and air resources.

Production of adequate crop residues to achieve the purpose of this practice can be enhanced through the use of high residue crops and crop varieties, the use of cover crops, and adjustment of plant populations through seeding rates and row spacing.

When providing technical assistance to organic producers, residue management, and tillage activities should be consistent with the USDA-Agricultural Marketing Service National Organic Program standard.

Do not shred residue after harvest. Shredding residue makes it susceptible to movement by wind or water, and areas where residue accumulates may interfere with planting the next crop.

Using Residue Management – NoTill for all crops in the rotation or cropping system can enhance the positive effects of this practice by:

- Increasing the rate of soil organic matter accumulation.
- Keeping soil in a consolidated condition, which provides additional resistance to sheet and rill
 erosion.
- Sequestering additional carbon in the soil.
- Further reducing the amount of particulate matter generated by field operations.
- Reduce energy inputs to establish crops.
- Forming root channels and other near surface voids that increase infiltration.

Considerations for Increasing Soil Organic Matter Content – Carbon loss is directly related to the volume of soil disturbed, the intensity of the disturbance, and the soil moisture content and soil temperature at the time the disturbance occurs. The following guidelines can make this practice more effective:

- When deep soil disturbance is performed, such as by subsoiling or fertilizer injection, make sure the vertical slot created by these implements is closed at the surface.
- Planting with a single disk opener no-till drill will release less CO₂ than planting with a wide-point hoe/chisel opener air seeder drill.
- Soil disturbance that occurs when soil temperatures are below 50° F will release less CO₂ than operations done when the soil is warmer.
- Maximizing year-round coverage of the soil with living vegetation and/or crop residues builds organic matter and reduces soil temperature, thereby slowing organic matter oxidation.

Considerations for Improving Soil Health/Quality - To achieve major improvements in soil health requires more than no-till alone. The following activities/practices are needed to make significant changes in soil health:

- Use a diverse crop rotation, incorporating multiple crop types (cool-season grass, cool-season legume/forb, warm-season grass, warm-season legume/forb) into the crop rotation.
- Plant a cover crop after every cash crop in the rotation. Multi-species cover crop mixes provide greater benefits than single-specie cover crops.

Considerations for Managing Soil Moisture – The type, timing, and depth of soil-disturbing activities all influence moisture loss. Shallow operations (1-2 inches) or operations that do not invert the soil will reduce moisture loss compared to deeper operations or those that invert and mix the soil.

Soil-disturbing operations performed when the soil surface is moist will result in greater moisture loss than operations done when the top two to three inches of soil have dried.

Leaving stubble taller than the minimum required will increase the relative humidity close to the soil surface, which reduces the rate of evaporative loss from the soil.

Performing all field operations on the contour will slow overland flow and allow more opportunity for infiltration.

Considerations for Wildlife Food and Cover - Leaving rows of unharvested crop standing at intervals across the field or adjacent to permanent cover will enhance the value of residues for wildlife food and cover. Leaving unharvested crop rows for two growing seasons will further enhance the value of these areas for wildlife.

Leave crop residues undisturbed after harvest (do not shred or roll) to maximize their cover and food source benefits.

Avoid disturbing standing stubble or heavy residue during the nesting season for ground-nesting species.

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Prepare specifications for establishment and operation of this practice for each field or treatment unit. Identify all of the following, as appropriate:

- The resource concern to be treated or the purpose for applying the practice
- Planned crop(s)
- The amount of residue produced by each crop.
- All field operations or activities that affect:
 - Residue cover
 - Residue orientation
 - Surface disturbance
- The amount of residue (pounds/acre or percent surface cover) required to accomplish the purpose, and the time of year it must be present
- The maximum STIR value allowed to accomplish the purpose, and the time of year that soil disturbance is allowed
- The minimum soil conditioning index value required to accomplish the purpose

Record the specifications using the Practice Implementation Requirements document.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Evaluate/measure the crop residues cover and orientation after each crop to ensure the planned amounts and orientation are being achieved. Adjust management as needed to either plan a new residue amount and orientation or adjust the planting and/or harvesting equipment.

Limited tillage is allowed to close or level ruts from harvesting equipment. No more than 25% of the field may be tilled for this purpose.

If there are areas of heavy residue accumulation (because of movement by water or wind) in the field, spread the residue prior to planting so it does not interfere with planter operation.

REFERENCES

Bolton, Ryan. 2003. Impact of the surface residue layer on decomposition, soil water properties and nitrogen dynamics. M.S. thesis. Univ. of Saskatchewan, Saskatchewan, Saskatchewan, CA.

Reicosky, D.C., M.J. Lindstrom, T.E. Schumacher, D.E. Lobb and D.D. Malo. 2005. Tillage-induced CO₂ loss across an eroded landscape. Soil Tillage Res. 81:183-194.

http://www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/Place/36450000/Products-Reprints/2005/1232.pdf (accessed 7 August 2014).

Reicosky, D.C. 2004. Tillage-induced soil properties and chamber mixing effects on gas exchange. Proc. 16th Triennial Conf., Int. Soil Till. Org. (ISTRO). http://www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/Place/36450000/Products-Reprints/2003/1042.pdf (accessed 7 August 2014).

Renard, K.G., G.R. Foster, G.A. Weesies, D.K. McCool and D.C. Yoder, coordinators. 1997. Predicting soil erosion by water: A guide to conservation planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE). USDA, Agricultural Handbook 703.

http://www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/Place/64080530/RUSLE/AH 703.pdf (accessed 7 August 2014).

Linden, D.R., D.M. Van Doren, Jr., J.K. Radke, and D.C. Reicosky. 1987. Tillage and surface-residue sensitive potential evaporation submodel. Pp. 73-85. *In* NTRM, a soil-crop simulation model for nitrogen, tillage and crop residue management. Shaffer, M.J., and W.E. Larson (ed.). USDA Conserv. Res. Rep. 34-1. https://archive.org/details/ntrmsoilcropsimu341shaf (accessed 7 August 2014)

USDA-ARS. Skidmore, E.L. and N.P. Woodruff. 1968. Wind erosion forces in the United States and their use in predicting soil loss. USDA, Agriculture Handbook 346.

http://docs.lib.noaa.gov/noaa_documents/NOAA_related_docs/USDA/USDA_Agriculture_Handbook_No34_6.pdf (accessed 7 August 2014)

USDA, NRCS. 2011. National Agronomy Manual. 190-V. 4th Ed. http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/29608.wba (accessed 7 August 2014).